

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,031	01/17/2006	Gerald Josef Reinhard	16795-16	5378	
52450 KRIEG DEVA	7590 10/13/200 ULTLIP	9	EXAMINER		
ONE INDIANA SQUARE			MORRISON, THOMAS A		
SUITE 2800 INDIANAPOI	JS, IN 46204-2079	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
a dan ta a a a	30,11100012075		3653		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/565,031	REINHARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
THOMAS A. MORRISON	3653	

	THOMAS A. MORRISON	3653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued to five 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sest for thin (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office there may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS The proposed emendment(s) fled offer a final rejection by	t prior to the data of Elips a brief		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con 			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L 501011/j,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		.,,	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: 54, as per the 8/6/09 Final Rejection.			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23.25-38 and 40-53</u> , as per the 8/6/05	Final Rejection.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

/Patrick H. Mackey/ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653

The amendment after final rejection dated 10/1/09 has not been entered, because it presents additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.